

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to a Request for Continued Examination filed 03/05/2009 for application number 10/811,900.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/05/2009 has been entered.

#### ***Status of Claims***

3. Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-21 & 23 are pending. Claims 2, 4, 15 and 22 are cancelled.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1 & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Art Unit: 2129

was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

6. The claims read “zero or at least one input parameter, and zero or at least one output parameter.” The specification also reads the same, without further explaining how this mathematical inconsistency would be enabled. Appropriate correction is required. Claims 3, 5-11, 13-14, 16-21 and 23 are rejected for being dependent on a rejected claim. *See Interview summary.*

### **Response to Arguments**

7. Applicant's arguments filed 03/05/2009 have been fully considered and they are not persuasive. The rejections of claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-21 & 23 under U.S.C. 103 have been withdrawn.

### **Conclusion**

8. Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-21 & 23 are rejected.

### **Correspondence Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KALPANA BHARADWAJ whose telephone number is (571)270-1641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am 5:00 pm EST.

Art Unit: 2129

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bharadwaj Kalpana/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2129

/David R Vincent/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129